## Media Release



Monday, 30 September 2019

## Press freedom should not be by consent of Attorney-General

The Law Council of Australia is concerned a new ministerial direction requiring the agreement of the Federal Attorney-General Christian Porter to prosecute journalists will not improve press freedom.

The direction of the Attorney-General dated September 19 to the Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions (CDPP) extends the range of offences covered by a similar direction given by former Attorney-General George Brandis QC.

The CDPP will now be required to obtain the agreement of the Federal Attorney-General for a prosecution of a journalist charged under section 131.1 (theft) and 132.1 (receiving stolen property) of the *Criminal Code* and section 73A of the *Defence Act 1903* (unlawfully giving or obtaining information as to defences).

Law Council of Australia President, Arthur Moses SC, said the new direction will not allay important concerns about press freedoms in Australia sparked by police raids on journalists and media organisations.

"I have grave concerns that this sort of direction undermines the independence of the CDPP by requiring her to obtain the consent of the Attorney-General before prosecuting an offence," Mr Moses SC said.

"What will enhance press freedoms in this country is a proper review of our laws to ensure that the actions of journalists doing their job as a watchdog of Government are not criminalised and put at risk of prosecution."

The requirement for the CDPP to obtain the Attorney-General's consent was not only inconsistent with long-held principles of the separation of powers and freedom of the press but placed both an Attorney-General and the media in a very difficult position, Mr Moses SC said.

"I have no doubt the Attorney-General would act in good faith. But it puts the Attorney-General – a politician – in the position of authorising prosecutions of journalists in situations where they may have written stories critical of his government.

"It creates an apprehension on the part of journalists that they will need to curry favour with the government in order to avoid prosecution. The media must be able to lawfully report on matters of public interest without fear or favour."

The Law Council considers there is a need for improved safeguards in relation to warrants authorising investigative action and has proposed a Public Interest Advocate to provide greater transparency and accountability to search warrants relating to journalists.

"There is no doubt that the work of our national security agencies is vital," Mr Moses SC said.

"But journalists should not need to fear prosecution because of a story that embarrasses government."

## **Contacts**

## **Marcus Priest**

P 02 6246 3715

E Marcus.Priest@lawcouncil.asn.au