# THE PRIVY COUNCIL HINDERS THE PROGRESS OF LGBTI RIGHTS IN THE CARIBBEAN

... BY ITS IRRATIONAL AND PERVERSE SHIELDING OF ARCHAIC COLONIAL LAWS FROM JUDICIAL SCRUTINY

BY DR LEONARDO J RAZNOVICH

NASSAU, 2021

# PARLIAMENTARY V CONSTITUTIONAL DEMOCRACY

## PARLIAMENTARY SUPREMACY

**UK LEGAL SYSTEM** 

Acts of Parliament common law

Case law
Secondary legislation

# CONSTITUTIONAL SUPREMACY

**COLONIAL LEGAL SYSTEM** 

Colonial Laws Validity Act 1865
[Certain acts of the UK Parliament / orders in council]
Colonial Constitutions — orders in council

Colonial legislation (i.e. local laws implemented by the colony)?

# CONSTITUTIONAL SUPREMACY

N

D

N

CARIBBEAN NATIONS

Constituent Power

Constitutions

Local legislation / case law

**Colonial legislation?** 

Secondary legislation

# **GENESIS OF CONSTITUTIONS**

## **UK PARLIAMENT / CROWN**

N

D

E

P

N

D

N

CASE A: COLONIAL SYSTEM

**CASE B: BY CONCESSION:** 

**AUTOCHTHONOUS** 

**CASE C: BY REVOLUTION** 

Colonial Laws Validity Act 1865
Orders in Council
Colonial Constitutions



CASE B1: CROWN <u>RETAINED</u>
 CONSTITUTIONAL POWER
 (BRITISH CARIBBEAN)



Order in council:

Constitution

Broken

legalit



**Local legislation** 

• CASE B2: CROWN <u>DEVOLVED</u>

CONSTITUTIONAL POWER (INDIA

AND PAKISTAN 1947)

BRITISH AMERICAN COLONIES 1776

CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION 1789

Constitutional convention

Constitution



# CASE B1: BARBADOS CONSTITUENT POWER:

#### BARBADOS INDEPENDENCE ACT (1966) \$ 5(1)

#### **ACT OF THE UK PARLIAMENT**

RETAINED POWER: "HER MAJESTY MAY
BY ORDER IN COUNCIL MADE BEFORE THE
APPOINTED DAY PROVIDE A
CONSTITUTION FOR BARBADOS TO
COME INTO EFFECT ON THAT DAY."

# BARBADOS INDEPENDENCE ORDER (1966)

#### STATUTORY INSTRUMENTS 1966 NO. 1455

HER MAJESTY, BY VIRTUE AND IN EXERCISE OF THE POWERS

VESTED IN HER BY SECTION 5 OF THE BARBADOS

INDEPENDENCE ACT 1966 ..., IS PLEASED,..., TO ORDER:

- \$ 2(1) REVOCATION OF COLONIAL CONSTITUTION
- S.3 SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDER, THE CONSTITUTION [SET OUT IN THE SCHEDULE TO THIS ORDER ] SHALL COME INTO EFFECT ON THE APPOINTED DAY.
- S 4 SAVING OF COLONIAL LAWS

# CONSTITUTION OF BARBADOS 1966

#### THE CONSTITUTION SCHEDULE TO ORDER IN COUNCIL

- IN COMMON LAW, SECTIONS AND SCHEDULES ARE THE MAIN OPERATIVE COMPONENTS OF ANY ENACTMENT, INCLUDING AN ORDER IN COUNCIL.
- THERE IS NO HIERARCHY BETWEEN THEM IN THAT BOTH CONSTITUTE THE LEGISLATOR'S PRONOUNCEMENT OF THE LAW.
- A SCHEDULE, AS BENNION EXPLAINS, IS SIMPLY AN EXTENSION OF THE SECTION WHICH INDUCES IT AND MUST BE READ "IN THE LIGHT OF THE WORDING OF [THIS] SECTION"

#### Trinidad and Tobago's constituent power: Case B1

Parent Act: section 5(1) of the West Indies Act 1962

Order in Council: Trinidad and Tobago (Constitution) Order 1962 – Statutory Instrument

1962 No. 1875

Constitution: the Constitution is set out in the second Schedule to the Order in Council

# TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO: COLONIAL LEGISLATION?



31/8/62



### **AT ORDER COMMENCEMENT**

- \$ 2(1) REVOCATION (COLONIAL LAWS LOSE LEGAL FORCE)
- S 3 NEW CONSTITUTION COMES INTO FORCE

Order in council/



## **AFTER ORDER COMMENCEMENT**

• \$ 4  $\sqrt{\text{SAVING CLAUSE & POWER OF MODIFICATION}}$  (COLONIAL LAWS LEGAL FORCE REINSTITUTED SUBJECT TO BEING CONSTRUED WITH MODIFICATIONS, ETC))

Order in council/

Colonial legislation

Local legislation

#### THE ORDER IN COUNCIL

- SAVING CLAUSE & POWER OF MODIFICATION S 4(1)
  - EXISTING LAWS LEGAL FORCE REINSTITUTED <u>AFTER</u> THE
     ORDER COMMENCEMENT SUBJECT TO THE CONDITION
     THAT EXISTING LAWS SHALL BE CONSTRUED WITH THE
     NECESSARY MODIFICATIONS ETC. TO BRING THEM INTO
     CONFORMITY WITH THE ORDER.

#### THE CONSTITUTION

- GENERAL SAVING CLAUSE (S 3)
  - PROVIDE IMMUNITY TO ALL EXISTING LAWS IN FORCE (AT COMMENCEMENT OF THE ORDER) AGAINST CHALLENGE FOR BREACH OF THE FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS OF THE CONSTITUTION.
  - THIS IS AT PERPETUITY

# CASE LAW: MANDATORY DEATH PENALTY PRIVY COUNCIL PRIVY COUNCIL CARIBBEAN

#### **ROODAL V T&T [2003] UKPC 78**

- MDP IS INHUMAN AND DEGRADING
- IT MUST BE MODIFIED TO CONFORM
   WITH THE CONSTITUTION (S. 4 ORDER)
- HARMONY IS ACHIEVED BETWEEN THE ORDER (MODIFICATION) AND THE CONSTITUTION (PROHIBITION OF NULLIFICATION)

BOYCE V THE QUEEN [2004] UKPC32
MATTHEW V T&T [2004] UKPC 33

# REVERSED ROODAL ON GROUNDS IRRATIONAL AND PERVERSE

- MDP IS AN EXISTING LAW;
- THE JUDICIARY CANNOT TOUCH THEM,
   REGARDLESS INHUMAN OR DEGRADING"
- THIS IMMUNITY IS COMPLETE

PRINCIPLE: COLONIAL LAWS ARE ABOVE THE CONSTITUTION AT PERPETUITY

# CARIBBEAN COURT OF JUSTICE

NERVAIS V REGINA [2018] CCJ 19 (AJ)
MCEWAN V GUYANA [2018] CCJ 30 (AJ)

#### RETURNED TO ROODAL

- **DUTY** OF THE COURTS TO USE FIRST THE POWERS OF MODIFICATION
- BESIDES MODIFICATION/ADAPTION THERE ARE WIDE POWERS OF QUALIFICATION /EXEMPTION

#### PRINCIPLE:

- INCONGRUOUS THAT COLONIAL LAWS ARE ABOVE THE CONSTITUTION AT PERPETUITY
- UNACCEPTABLE DIMINUTION OF FREEDOMS, INDEPENDENCE AND SOVEREIGNTY

Order in council/Constitution

Colonial legislation

Local legislation

Colonial legislation

Order in council/Constitution

Local legislation

Order in council/Constitution

Local legislation / Colonial legislation

# PRIVY COUNCIL'S ERRORS IN LAW IN **BOYCE** AND **MATTHEW**

- CONFUSE THE ROLE OF THE CROWN IN THE CONSTITUTIONAL PROCESS.
  - BELITTLE THE UNFETTERED ROLE OF THE CROWN AS CONSTITUENT POWER AND PREFER THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONFERENCES TO PROVIDE A CONSTITUTION, WITHOUT ANY LEGAL JUSTIFICATION GIVEN.
- NEGLECT PRINCIPLES OF STATUTORY INTERPRETATION
  - INTERACTION BETWEEN SECTIONS AND SCHEDULES
- MISUNDERSTAND BREADTH OF THE POWER OF MODIFICATION
  - EXCISING LANGUAGE, ADAPTING IT BY NARROWING THE APPLICATION OF THE LAW AND EVEN ADDING LANGUAGE TO MAKE A LAW CONSTITUTIONAL

## IS THE PRIVY COUNCIL A HOMOPHOBIC COURT?

- MATTHEW AND BOYCE PROTECT CRIMINALISATION OF LGBTI PEOPLE
  - HENRY VIII ANTI-SODOMY LAWS PASSED ALMOST 500 YEARS AGO WOULD BE IMMUNE FROM CONSTITUTIONAL SCRUTINY BY THE JUDICIARY OF AN INDEPENDENT NATION IN 2021
  - MANY ANTI-LGBTI LAWS WOULD FALL INTO THIS CATEGORY AS WELL
    - AG OF T&T 11 JULY 2021 -<
      HTTPS://WWW.FACEBOOK.COM/670658045/POSTS/10159057420443046/?D=N >
- SURRATT V T&T [2007] UKPC 55 PROVIDES FOR DISCRIMINATION OF LGBTI PEOPLE
  - CONTRARY TO EGAN V CANADA [1995] 2 SCR 513 / MCEWAN V GUYANA [2018] CCJ 30 (AJ) / BOSTOCK V.
     CLAYTON COUNTY (GEORGIA) 590 U.S. \_\_\_ (2020)
- DAY AND BODDEN-BUSH V AG OF CAYMAN ISLANDS (PENDING) COULD PROVIDE FOR SEGREGATION OF LGBTI PEOPLE PLESSY V FERGUSON 163 US 537 (1896)

IF SO, IS THE BRITISH CROWN BREACHING
INTERNATIONAL LAW?